	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/014,853	CARATI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Ildebrando	1725
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Christina Ildebrando</u> .	(3)	
(2) Frederick Vastine.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 34-35		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 34-35 were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were proposed to positively recite the allowable method steps. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiner's amendment..